

IFP-UNC-516

TAR-UNC-053

gr-qc/9605014

Geometrical Hyperbolic Systems for General Relativity and Gauge Theories[†]

Andrew Abrahams, Arlen Anderson, Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat[*]
and James W. York, Jr.

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill 27599-3255 USA

Abstract

The evolution equations of Einstein's theory and of Maxwell's theory—the latter used as a simple model to illustrate the former—are written in gauge covariant first order symmetric hyperbolic form with only physically natural characteristic directions and speeds for the dynamical variables. Quantities representing gauge degrees of freedom [the spatial shift vector $\beta^i(t, x^j)$ and the spatial scalar potential $\phi(t, x^j)$, respectively] are not among the dynamical variables: the gauge and the physical quantities in the evolution equations are effectively decoupled. For example, the gauge quantities could be obtained as functions of (t, x^j) from subsidiary equations that are not part of the evolution equations. Propagation of certain (“radiative”) dynamical variables along the physical light cone is gauge invariant while the remaining dynamical variables are dragged along the axes orthogonal to the spacelike time slices by the propagating variables. We obtain these results by (1) taking a further time derivative of the equation of motion of the canonical momentum, and (2) adding a covariant spatial derivative of the momentum constraints of general relativity (Lagrange multiplier β^i) or of the Gauss's law constraint of electromagnetism (Lagrange multiplier ϕ). General relativity also requires a harmonic time

slicing condition or a specific generalization of it that brings in the Hamiltonian constraint when we pass to first order symmetric form. The dynamically propagating gravity fields straightforwardly determine the “electric” or “tidal” parts of the Riemann tensor.

PACS #: 04.20.-q, 97.60.Lf

1 Introduction

We examine the Cauchy problem [1] for general relativity as the time history of the two fundamental forms of the geometry of a spacelike hypersurface, its metric $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ and its extrinsic curvature $\mathbf{K}$. By using a 3+1 decomposition of the Riemann and Ricci tensors, we split the Einstein equations into initial data constraints—equations containing only $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$, $\mathbf{K}$, and their space derivatives—and evolution equations giving the time derivatives of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ in terms of space derivatives of these quantities and also of the lapse and shift, that is, of the variables that fix the proper time separation between leaves of the foliation of spacetime and the “time lines” threading the foliation [2, 3, 4]. The constraints can be posed and solved as an elliptic system by known methods. (See, for example [1, 4, 5].) However, the equations of evolution of $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ are in essence the spatially covariant canonical Hamiltonian [6] equations with first order time derivatives and second order space derivatives. Casting these equations into hyperbolic form [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] is a problem important for theoretical analysis and for practical applications. However, the procedure used may entail a loss of spatial coordinate covariance, the use of non-geometrical variables, or the appearance of unphysical characteristics, that is, directions which are neither along the light cone nor orthogonal to the time slices.

All of these difficulties can be overcome, as we show, by obtaining first a nonlinear wave equation for the evolution of $\mathbf{K}$. The evolution of the spatial metric $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ is just its dragging by $\mathbf{K}$ along the axis orthogonal to the spacelike time slices.

One method for obtaining this result requires choosing the time slicing by specifying the mean (extrinsic) curvature of the slices. It leads to a mixed elliptic-hyperbolic system for which we have recently proven local in time, global in space, existence theorems in the cases of compact [12] or asymptotically flat slices [13, 12, 14].

In this paper, we concentrate on a second method, which relies on a harmonic time slicing condition or a certain class of generalizations of it [12, 15, 14, 16]. We obtain equations of motion equivalent to a covariant first order symmetric hyperbolic system with only physical characteristics. We construct this system explicitly. The only quanti-

ties propagated along the light cone are *curvatures*. The space coordinates and the shift vector are arbitrary. In this sense, the system is gauge covariant.

2 Electromagnetism

Maxwell's theory provides a simple example for illustrating the method we use in treating Einstein's theory. The idea is to work with the dynamical or 3+1 form of the theory, that is, essentially the canonical form. By using a further time and a further space derivative in a definite way, one proceeds to construct a physically natural second order wave equation for the canonical momentum, in this case the electric field. The wave equation for the electric field can be written in a first order symmetric hyperbolic form ("FOSH" form) that is also flux conservative. Furthermore, this procedure maintains gauge covariance and produces a FOSH system that has *only* the physically natural characteristic directions given by null generators of the light cone and timelike vectors orthogonal to the spacelike time slices. We refer to these properties as "simple physical characteristics." Correspondingly, one obtains "characteristic fields" that propagate along the light cone. By treating this simple case first, many steps in dealing with the technically more intricate case of general relativity can be abbreviated because they follow the same pattern.

Consider Maxwell's theory on flat spacelike slices of flat Minkowski spacetime with

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \quad (1)$$

and prescribed current $j_\mu(t, x^j) = (-\rho, j_i)$ such that $\nabla^\mu j_\mu = \partial_0 \rho + \bar{\nabla}^i j_i = 0$ (an overbar denotes a spatial tensor or operator). Using $F_{\mu\nu} = 2\nabla_{[\mu} A_{\nu]}$ and $A_\mu = (-\phi, A_i)$, we define $R_\mu \equiv \nabla^\nu F_{\mu\nu}$, which leads to the identities

$$R_0 \equiv -\bar{\nabla}^j E_j, \quad (2)$$

$$R_i \equiv -\partial_0 E_i + \bar{\nabla}^j F_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

The standard form of the Maxwell equations can be written as

$$R_0 + 4\pi\rho = 0, \quad (4C)$$

$$R_i - 4\pi j_i = 0, \quad (4E)$$

$$\partial_0 A_i = -E_i - \bar{\nabla}_i \phi, \quad (4D)$$

where $\bar{\nabla}_i \phi = \partial_i \phi$. (C denotes “constraint,” E “evolution,” and D “definition.”) To construct the new 3+1 system, form the spatial one-form

$$\Omega_i \equiv \partial_0 R_i - \bar{\nabla}_i R_0 \quad (5)$$

and use (4D) and the definition of F_{ij} to obtain

$$\Omega_i \equiv (-\partial_0 \partial_0 + \bar{\Delta}) E_i \equiv \square E_i, \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{\Delta} \equiv \bar{\nabla}^k \bar{\nabla}_k$. While (6) is an identity, the Maxwell equations give us a new statement of the evolution equation,

$$\Omega_i = 4\pi(\partial_0 j_i + \bar{\nabla}_i \rho). \quad (7)$$

The new form of the Maxwell system is therefore

$$\bar{\nabla}^i E_i = 4\pi\rho, \quad (8C)$$

$$\square E_i = 4\pi(\partial_0 j_i + \bar{\nabla}_i \rho), \quad (8W)$$

$$\partial_0 A_i = -E_i - \bar{\nabla}_i \phi, \quad (4D)$$

where W denotes “wave equation,” and the scalar potential $\phi = \phi(t, x^j)$ is an arbitrary gauge field that can be regarded for the present as prescribed.

The initial data (Cauchy data) for the new system on a spacelike slice Σ_0 ($t = 0$) are (a) A_i given freely, (b) E_i such that (8C) holds for given ρ , and (c) $\partial_0 E_i$ such that (4E) holds ($R_i - 4\pi j_i = 0$) for given j_i . In other terms, the extra time derivative used in constructing Ω_i requires that we give as further Cauchy data $\partial_0 E_i$ satisfying on Σ_0 the usual Maxwell equation of motion, which is (3) combined with (4E).

For any given $\phi(t, x^j)$, the new system (8W), (4D) forms a quasi-diagonal strictly hyperbolic system in the unknowns E_i and A_i . Its characteristics at a point are the light cone and the time axis ∂_0 , which lies inside the cone. The cone therefore determines the propagation. The new system in its present form implies that E_i propagates at light speed along the cone while A_i is dragged along the time axis.

Before passing to FOSH form, let us show that the new system is equivalent to the standard Maxwell equations: Assume (7) has been solved. Then

$$\bar{\nabla}^i \Omega_i = 4\pi \square \rho, \quad (8)$$

where current conservation, $\nabla^\mu j_\mu = 0$, has been used. Therefore, using (6) we find

$$\square(\bar{\nabla}^i E_i - 4\pi \rho) = 0. \quad (9)$$

But $\bar{\nabla}^i E_i - 4\pi \rho = 0$ ($R_0 + 4\pi \rho = 0$) on Σ_0 by hypothesis, and from the ‘‘Bianchi identity’’ $\nabla^\mu R_\mu = 0$ (i.e. $\nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu F_{\mu\nu} = 0$) and current conservation $\nabla^\mu j_\mu = 0$, we have

$$\partial_0(\bar{\nabla}^i E_i - 4\pi \rho) = \bar{\nabla}^i(-R_i + 4\pi j_i) = 0 \quad (10)$$

on Σ_0 . In the last equality we have used the initial data condition (c) that $R_i - 4\pi j_i = 0$ on Σ_0 . Therefore, the Cauchy data pertaining to (10) are zero and it follows that the Maxwell constraint (4C) holds on $\Sigma_0 \times I$, where I is an interval of time. (Mathematically rigorous statements of results in this paper can be given in terms of Sobolev spaces H_s , or weighted Sobolev spaces $H_{s,\delta}$ for asymptotically flat time slices.)

Now (5) and (7) together with the result just obtained that the constraint (4C) holds on $\Sigma_0 \times I$ show that

$$\partial_0(R_i - 4\pi j_i) = 0 \quad (11)$$

on $\Sigma_0 \times I$. But again $R_i - 4\pi j_i = 0$ on Σ_0 , so it must remain zero on $\Sigma_0 \times I$, which is what we wanted to prove.

In passing to the FOSH form of the Maxwell equations, we will leave the constraint (8C) unchanged; it can be converted to an elliptic equation that needs to be solved only on Σ_0 . Also, the other initial-value data will be given as above.

We consider here the vacuum case $\rho = j_i = 0$. Defining $G_{0i} = \partial_0 E_i$ and $G_{ji} = \bar{\nabla}_j E_i$, we write the first order system corresponding to (4D) and (8W) as

$$\partial_0 A_i = -E_i - \bar{\nabla}_i \phi, \quad (4D)$$

$$\partial_0 E_i = G_{0i}, \quad (13D)$$

$$\partial_0 G_{0i} - \bar{\nabla}^j G_{ji} = 0, \quad (13W)$$

$$\partial_0 G_{ji} - \bar{\nabla}_j G_{0i} = 0, \quad (13A)$$

where A=“adjoint”, an equation obtained by using the trivial commutator $[\partial_0, \bar{\nabla}_i] = 0$. (It is already clear that this system has “simple physical characteristics” as previously defined.)

Define the transpose $\mathbf{u}^T$ of a column matrix $\mathbf{u}$ by

$$\mathbf{u}^T = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{G}_0, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \mathbf{G}_3), \quad (14)$$

where the final index “i” has been omitted for each entry. The above equations (4D)-(13A) have the form

$$\partial_0 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{B}^j \bar{\nabla}_j \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{C} = 0, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{B}^j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) is an $n \times n$ square matrix and $\mathbf{C}$ is an $n \times 1$ column vector, with n the number of unknowns. (With the abbreviated notation (14), $n = 6$.) The matrices $\mathbf{B}^j$ and vector $\mathbf{C}$ depend on (t, x^i) in the linear case and on $(\mathbf{u}; t, x^i)$ in the quasi-linear case. Equations (15) are hyperbolic and *symmetrizable* if there is an $n \times n$ symmetric positive definite matrix $\mathbf{M}^0$ such that $\mathbf{M}^0 \mathbf{B}^j \equiv \mathbf{M}^j$ is symmetric. (It may be that these properties hold only in some restricted region of spacetime and, in the quasi-linear case, only in a functional neighborhood of a particular $\mathbf{u}$.) If we carry out this operation, we obtain an explicitly FOSH form,

$$\mathbf{M}^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{M}^0 \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{M}^0 \partial_0 \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{M}^j \bar{\nabla}_j \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{M}^0 \mathbf{C} = 0. \quad (16)$$

From the FOSH form, one can construct “energy norms” and “energy inequalities” that lead, by the methods of functional analysis, to precise results on the existence and uniqueness of solutions, and global estimates of the time of existence [11].

In the present case, the $\mathbf{B}^j$'s are not symmetric but the symmetrizer is

$$\mathbf{M}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} \\ \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 3} & \mathbf{g}_{3 \times 3}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

where $(g^{-1}) = g^{ij}$. The matrix $\mathbf{M}^0$ is positive definite if and only if g_{ij} is properly Riemannian. (This is important in the case of gravity, where g_{ij} is an unknown. There, the symmetrizer will be essentially two copies of $\mathbf{M}^0$ centered on the diagonal of a simple larger matrix.) We verify easily that the $\mathbf{M}^0 \mathbf{B}^j$'s are symmetric.

It is clear from the form of (4D)-(13A) that A_i and E_i are fields associated with evolution along the time axis. There are also fields propagating at light speed. These “characteristic fields” can be found by returning to the symmetrizable form (15). Then, to exhibit one example of such fields explicitly, we choose a space direction at an event corresponding, for example, to $j=1$. This means the direction $\pm \mathbf{n}_1$, orthogonal in space to the $x^1 = \text{constant}$ two-surface passing through the event and lying in the $t = \text{constant}$ slice containing the event. We solve the eigenvalue problem associated with $\mathbf{B}^1$ and diagonalize it with an appropriate matrix $\mathbf{T}$ by a similarity transformation

$$\mathbf{T} \mathbf{B}^1 \mathbf{T}^{-1} = \mathbf{D}^1, \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{D}^1$ is diagonal. The non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}^1$ give the speeds of the “characteristic fields” with respect to observers at rest in the time slices, whose four-velocities are parallel to the orthogonal time axis ∂_0 (“Eulerian observers”). By construction, for the choice of direction $\pm \mathbf{n}_1$, these characteristic fields include *only* those which propagate in the local spacetime two-plane defined by ∂_0 and $\pm \mathbf{n}_1$ at the given event. As we change the direction $\pm \mathbf{n}_1$, we move around the locally isotropic null cone at the event and capture *all* the characteristic fields.

The non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}^1$ are $\pm \alpha^{-1}$, where $\alpha = (g^{11})^{-1/2}$ is the spatial “lapse function” corresponding to the chosen direction. The quantity α^{-1} , of course, *is* the speed of light because, in this example, the time is proper but the spatial displacements are not. (In local Cartesian coordinates we obtain, of course, ± 1 .)

The characteristic fields are obtained from

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\text{char}}. \quad (19)$$

They are found to be

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha \left[G_{0i} \pm \alpha^{-1}(G_{1i} - v^a G_{ai}) \right], \quad (20)$$

where $v^a = -g^{1a}(g^{11})^{-1}$ with $a = 2, 3$ is the “shift vector” in space corresponding to the $x^1 = \text{constant}$ two-surface whose “space lapse function” is $\alpha = (g^{11})^{-1/2}$. Substituting into (20) the definitions of G_{0i} and G_{ji} , we see that the characteristic fields in this example are the *differential* electric field along the advanced and retarded “ $\pm \mathbf{n}_1$ -branches” of the generators of the null cones. That is, the fields (20) are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\alpha \left[\partial_0 \pm \alpha^{-1}(\bar{\nabla}_1 - v^a \bar{\nabla}_a) \right] E_i. \quad (21)$$

The operator in rectangular brackets (times $1/\sqrt{2}$) gives the geometrical form of the usual advanced and retarded time derivatives defined with respect to the chosen local spacetime two-plane at the given event. Knowing the characteristic fields facilitates imposing physically consistent boundary conditions[16], in this case, boundary conditions compatible with causality. As examples, consider a “no outgoing waves” condition at the future event horizon of a black hole or a “no incoming waves” condition in the vacuum far-field of electromagnetic source switched on a finite time in the past.

The final points we wish to emphasize concern the facts that (1) no particular gauge condition was imposed on A_i to obtain our physical FOSH system, and (2) the scalar potential (“gauge field”) $A_0 = -\phi$ played an entirely passive role. It could either be *given* or *obtained* (say) by a *supplementary* (non-Maxwell) equation, as a function of (t, x^i) . Any mathematically consistent method of obtaining $\phi(t, x^i)$ can be used without affecting the features of the Maxwell equations *per se* in the form in which we have given them above. The “gauge sector” and the “physical sector” have thus been separated to this extent. Our FOSH system and its simple physical characteristics are maintained in the face of complete gauge covariance. (A similar statement holds for A_0 in the Yang-Mills equations [15] and for the “shift-vector” of the Einstein equations—see below.)

3 Gravitation

In Einstein's theory it is convenient to write the metric as

$$ds^2 = -N^2(\theta^0)^2 + g_{ij}\theta^i\theta^j, \quad (22)$$

with $\theta^0 = dt$ and $\theta^i = dx^i + \beta^i dt$, where β^i is the shift vector. The cobasis θ^α satisfies

$$d\theta^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\gamma \quad (23)$$

with $C_{0j}^i = -C_{j0}^i = \partial_j \beta^i$ and all other structure coefficients zero. The corresponding vector basis is given by $e_0 = \partial_t - \beta^j \partial_j$ and $e_i = \partial_i$ where $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$, and the action of e_0 on space scalars is the Pfaffian or convective derivative, $e_0[f] = \partial_0 f = \partial_t f - \beta^j \partial_j f$.

We shall assume throughout that the lapse function $N > 0$ and the space metric $\bar{g}$ on Σ_t is properly Riemannian. Note that $\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}$ and $\bar{g}^{ij} = g^{ij}$.

The spacetime connection one-forms are defined by [17, 4]

$$\omega_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha + g^{\alpha\delta} C_{\delta(\beta}^\epsilon g_{\gamma)\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \omega_{(\beta\gamma)}^\alpha + \omega_{[\beta\gamma]}^\alpha, \quad (24)$$

where $(\beta\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta\gamma + \gamma\beta)$, $[\beta\gamma] = \frac{1}{2}(\beta\gamma - \gamma\beta)$, and Γ denotes a Christoffel symbol. These connection forms are written out in [14]. In particular, the extrinsic curvature (second fundamental tensor) of the space slices is given by

$$K_{ij} = -N\omega_{ij}^0 \equiv -\frac{1}{2}N^{-1}\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij}, \quad (25)$$

where we define for any t -dependent space tensor $\mathbf{T}$ another such tensor $\hat{\partial}_0 \mathbf{T}$ of the same type by setting

$$\hat{\partial}_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathcal{L}_\beta, \quad (26)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ is the Lie derivative on Σ_t with respect to the vector β . Note that $\hat{\partial}_0$ and ∂_i commute: $[\hat{\partial}_0, \partial_i]\mathbf{T} = 0$.

We have chosen in this paper to work with K_{ij} rather than the usual canonical momentum, $(\det \bar{g})^{1/2}(Hg^{ij} - K^{ij})$, conjugate to g_{ij} , where $H = K^i_i$ denotes the mean

curvature. This is a matter of choice, not of principle. Indeed, our general procedure can be applied to other choices of canonical or geometric variables. (We note that K_{ij} is conjugate to $(\det \bar{g})^{1/2} g^{ij}$.)

We regard the dynamics of general relativity as being given by the time dependence of the first and second fundamental forms of the foliation Σ_t . It is therefore appropriate to use $\hat{\partial}_0$ as our time derivative and $\bar{\nabla}_i$, the spatial covariant derivative, for spatial derivatives ($\omega_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i = \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i$). An advantage of using $\hat{\partial}_0$ is that it is always timelike because it is orthogonal to the spacelike slices Σ_t . We recall that $\partial/\partial t$ is not necessarily timelike in Einstein's theory so that using it to define hyperbolicity can be confusing. Unlike the flat-spacetime Maxwell theory on flat, spacelike hypersurfaces, here we have the non-trivial commutator

$$[\hat{\partial}_0, \bar{\nabla}_i]u_j = Nu_k \left[2\bar{\nabla}_{(i}K_{j)}^k - \bar{\nabla}^k K_{ij} + 2a_{(i}K_{j)}^k - a^k K_{ij} \right], \quad (27)$$

where u_j is a spatial one-form and $a_i = \partial_i \log N = \bar{\nabla}_i \log N$ is the acceleration one-form of the ‘‘Eulerian’’ observers at rest in the time slices. The commutator on higher-rank tensors is similar to (27), with corresponding terms for each further index.

It is necessary to relate the spacetime and space Riemann tensor components as well as the corresponding Ricci tensor components. We use the conventions in [18] and the θ^α cobasis. The Riemann tensor components are given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{ijkl} + 2K_{i[k}K_{l]j}, \quad (28)$$

$$R_{0ijk} = 2N\bar{\nabla}_{[j}K_{k]i}, \quad (29)$$

$$R_{0i0j} = N(\hat{\partial}_0 K_{ij} + NK_{ik}K_j^k + \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j N), \quad (30)$$

where we note that

$$N\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j N = N^2(\bar{\nabla}_i a_j + a_i a_j), \quad (31)$$

and, in three dimensions,

$$\bar{R}_{ijkl} = 2g_{i[k}\bar{R}_{l]j} + 2g_{j[l}\bar{R}_{k]i} + \bar{R}g_{i[l}g_{k]j}. \quad (32)$$

The latter relation proves to be very important in our construction of a FOSH system for the Einstein equations in (3+1) dimensions.

The Ricci curvature $R_{\alpha\beta} = R^\sigma{}_{\alpha\sigma\beta}$ is given in (3+1) form by the identities

$$R_{ij} = \bar{R}_{ij} - N^{-1}\hat{\partial}_0 K_{ij} + H K_{ij} - 2K_{ik}K^k{}_j - \bar{\nabla}_i a_j - a_i a_j, \quad (33)$$

$$R_{0i} = N\bar{\nabla}^j (H g_{ij} - K_{ij}), \quad (34)$$

$$R_{00} = N\bar{\Delta}N - N^2 K_{mk}K^{mk} + N\partial_0 H. \quad (35)$$

The Einstein equations, $G_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa T_{\alpha\beta}$, can be written as $R_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa \rho_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\kappa = 8\pi$ if $G = c = 1$, and, in (3+1) dimensions, $\rho_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta}T^\sigma{}_\sigma$. In this paper we will take $T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ but indicate at certain stages what the matter terms are. (The presence of matter fields indeed causes no difficulties in a complete hyperbolic system for gravity plus matter if these fields themselves have well-posed Cauchy problems in flat spacetime and, as a sufficient condition, are minimally coupled to gravity.)

We recognize (33) as the evolution equation E, (34) as the “momentum constraints” C_i , and from the definition $G_{\alpha\beta} = R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Einstein tensor, we see that the “Hamiltonian constraint” C_0 is defined by

$$G^0{}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(R^0{}_0 - R^i{}_i) = \frac{1}{2}(K_{mk}K^{mk} - H^2 - \bar{R}). \quad (36)$$

The constraint equations C_i and C_0 can be posed and solved as an elliptic problem and will not be discussed further here. Therefore, in analogy to (4C), (4E), and (4D), we have

$$R_{0i} = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}(R^0{}_0 - R^i{}_i) = 0, \quad (37C)$$

$$R_{ij} = 0, \quad (37E)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij} = -2N K_{ij}. \quad (37D)$$

To construct the new (3+1) system, form the symmetric spatial tensor

$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv \hat{\partial}_0 R_{ij} - 2\bar{\nabla}_{(i} R_{j)0}, \quad (38)$$

and work it out using the relations above and

$$\hat{\partial}_0 \bar{R}_{ij} = \bar{\nabla}_k (\hat{\partial}_0 \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k) - \bar{\nabla}_j (\hat{\partial}_0 \bar{\Gamma}_{ik}^k), \quad (39)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 \bar{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = -2\bar{\nabla}_{(i} (NK_{j)}^k) + \bar{\nabla}^k (NK_{ij}), \quad (40)$$

to obtain, in analogy to (6), the identity

$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv N \hat{\square} K_{ij} + J_{ij} + S_{ij}, \quad (41)$$

where $\hat{\square} \equiv -(N^{-1} \hat{\partial}_0)^2 + \bar{\Delta}$. This wave operator was denoted “ $\square$ ” in [15]; but here we reserve that standard symbol for $g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta$, where ∇_α is the usual spacetime covariant derivative operator. In [14] and [12], slightly different wave operators were used, resulting in slightly different counterparts for J_{ij} . These wave operators all have the same principal symbol.

With the conventions above, to which we adhere in this paper, the explicit form of the “source” J_{ij} is given in [15]; below, it will be written out explicitly for our FOSH system. For the present, we note that J_{ij} contains no derivatives of K_{ij} higher than the first; and that it contains $\bar{R}_{ijkl}$ and $\bar{R}_{ij}$ with the former subject to elimination in favor of the latter using (32). The contribution of matter to (41), before the elimination of $\bar{R}_{ij}$ to be carried out later in obtaining FOSH form, is found by noting that Einstein’s equations assert

$$\Omega_{ij} = \kappa [\hat{\partial}_0 \rho_{ij} - 2\bar{\nabla}_{(i} \rho_{j)0}]. \quad (42)$$

The “slicing term” S_{ij} is given by

$$S_{ij} = -N^{-1} \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j (\partial_0 N + N^2 H). \quad (43)$$

Because of the presence of the $\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j H$ term in S_{ij} , the principal part of the complete second-order operator acting on K_{ij} in (41) is not a wave operator. However, this problem (which has no counterpart in Maxwell’s theory) can be eliminated by using either the *simple harmonic slicing* condition ($g^{\alpha\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta}^0 = 0$)

$$\partial_0 N + N^2 H = 0, \quad (44)$$

or a *generalized harmonic slicing* condition [15]

$$\partial_0 N + N^2 H = N f(t, x^j), \quad (45)$$

where $f(t, x^j)$ is an arbitrary given function analogous to a “gauge source” [10]. Although we shall, for simplicity, take $f = 0$ in most of the following discussion, a choice of $f \neq 0$ causes no material changes. In any event, we now have, from (41) and (45),

$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv N \hat{\square} K_{ij} + J_{ij} - \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j f - 2a_{(i} \bar{\nabla}_{j)} f - f(\bar{\nabla}_i a_j + a_i a_j), \quad (46)$$

which is a second-order nonlinear wave operator on K_{ij} for all time slicings in the class (45). (Recall $a_i = \bar{\nabla}_i \log N$.)

[Another way to handle S_{ij} is to prescribe the mean curvature: set $H = h(t, x)$, a known function. This leads to a mixed elliptic-hyperbolic representation of the Einstein evolution equations which we will not pursue here. See [14, 12].]

The new Einstein system of equations of motion is given by (46), (45), and $\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij} = -2N K_{ij}$. The appropriate Cauchy data on an initial slice Σ_0 ($t = 0$) are $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$, $\mathbf{K}$, N , and $\hat{\partial}_0 \mathbf{K}$. We require $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ to satisfy the usual momentum and Hamiltonian constraints and $\hat{\partial}_0 \mathbf{K}$ to be given by $R_{ij} = 0$ in (33) in the vacuum case: that is, we require that all the Einstein equations $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ hold on Σ_0 . The lapse function must satisfy $N > 0$. Note that the shift β^i , which we may regard at present as being known in the form $\beta^i(t, x^j)$, is playing a passive role completely analogous to the scalar potential ϕ in our treatment of the Maxwell equations. The shift has been absorbed into the operator $\hat{\partial}_0$.

To show that the new system is indeed solvable, before showing that it is equivalent to the Einstein equations on $\Sigma_0 \times I$, we shall reduce it to the form (8W) and (4D) obtained for the Maxwell equations. To accomplish this, we use the expression for H in terms of g_{ij} and its derivatives [cf. (37D)] to write (45) in the form

$$\partial_0 \log[N(\det \bar{g})^{-1/2}] = f(t, x^j), \quad (47)$$

from which N can be obtained in terms of the spatial metric and the known functions β^i and f , called “algebraic gauge” in [14, 12]. (Compare [7] in the case $\beta^i = 0$ and $f = 0$

and [14, 12] in the case $f = 0$.) The new system now acquires a reduced form

$$\hat{\square} K_{ij} = -N^{-1}[J_{ij} - \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j f - 2a_{(i} \bar{\nabla}_{j)} f - f(\bar{\nabla}_i a_j + a_i a_j)], \quad (48)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij} = -2N K_{ij}, \quad (49)$$

where N is understood to be determined by (47). These equations, analogous to the Maxwell equations (8W) and (4D), are a quasi-diagonal system whose characteristics are the light cone and the ∂_0 axis, which lies inside the cone. This form of the equations implies that K_{ij} propagates along the light cone at unit speed while g_{ij} is dragged by K_{ij} along the ∂_0 axis. Since equivalence to Einstein's theory has not yet been proven and therefore cannot be used, the Ricci curvatures occurring in J_{ij} [15] are understood by their definition in terms of the metric g_{ij} and its derivatives, up to second order. Using (49) in (48), we obtain a third order strictly hyperbolic equation for $(\det \bar{g})^{-1/2} g_{ij}$. A theorem of Leray[19] then implies the temporally local existence of solutions in spatially local Sobolev spaces. In practice, we see that we can regard (45), (46), and $\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij} = -2N K_{ij}$ as a system to be solved simultaneously.

It is next required that we show the equivalence of the above system to the standard Einstein equations. This proof is transparent especially when the actions of the operators $\hat{\partial}_0$ and $\bar{\nabla}_i$ on spatial scalars, one-forms, and covariant symmetric tensors are related to those of ∇_0 and ∇_i on these same objects. Such relations follow from the definitions of the operators and the values of the connection one-forms $\omega_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$.

Assume that the reduced system defined by (45) or (47), as well as (48) and (49), has been solved, so that the lapse and the metric are known on $\Sigma_0 \times I$, where I is an interval of time. We must prove that given the Cauchy data for the reduced system, i.e., that the Einstein equations hold initially and that $N > 0$ is given initially in accord with (47), then (38) [and (42)], supplemented by the twice-contracted Bianchi identities [and the covariant conservation law $\nabla^\beta T_{\alpha\beta} = 0$], implies that they hold on $\Sigma_0 \times I$. It is necessary that we have already found (i.e. proven the existence) of the lapse and the metric so that (38) becomes a definite equation for the Ricci curvature, and we can raise and lower

indices as necessary. In vacuum,

$$\Omega_{ij} \equiv \hat{\partial}_0 R_{ij} - 2\bar{\nabla}_{(i} R_{j)0} \equiv \nabla_0 R_{ij} - 2\nabla_{(i} G_{j)0} + (\text{l.h.E.})_0 = 0, \quad (50)$$

where the notation $(\text{l.h.E.})_n$ denotes additive terms that are linear and homogeneous in the Einstein tensor and its derivatives of order $\leq n$. Form the tensor

$$\Omega'_{ij} = \Omega_{ij} - g_{ij}\Omega^k{}_k = \nabla_0 G_{ij} - 2\nabla_{(i} G_{j)0} + g_{ij}\nabla^k G_{k0} + (\text{l.h.E.})_0. \quad (51)$$

From the twice-contracted Bianchi identities $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$, we have

$$\nabla^0 G_{00} + \nabla^j G_{j0} \equiv 0, \quad (52)$$

$$\nabla^0 G_{0i} + \nabla^j G_{ji} \equiv 0. \quad (53)$$

Compute $\nabla^j \Omega'_{ij}$, use the Ricci identity to commute covariant derivatives, and use (53) to obtain

$$\square G_{0i} + (\text{l.h.E.})_1 = 0. \quad (54)$$

But $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ on Σ_0 by hypothesis and $\hat{\partial}_0 G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ (or $\nabla_0 G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$) on Σ_0 from (51), (52) and (53). Hence $G_{0i} = 0$ on $\Sigma_0 \times \text{I}$. (The action of $\hat{\partial}_0$ on $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined because in our basis, G_{00} is a space scalar, G_{0i} is a space one-form, and G_{ij} a space tensor.)

From $G_{0i} = 0$ on $\Sigma_0 \times \text{I}$ and (51), it now follows that $\hat{\partial}_0 G_{ij} = 0$; therefore, $G_{ij} = 0$ on $\Sigma_0 \times \text{I}$ because it was zero on Σ_0 . Likewise, from (52), we infer that $G_{00} = 0$ on $\Sigma_0 \times \text{I}$, which completes the proof.

The passage to FOSH form of the Einstein equations proceeds similarly to that for the Maxwell equations except for two points. One is technical: the non-trivial commutator (27) has to be used. The other is conceptual: the necessity of using a generalized harmonic time-slicing condition. In what follows, *we shall use simple harmonic slicing* (44) *in the vacuum case*; use of (45) only adds known terms and no new variables. The key point about harmonic slicing $\partial_0 N + N^2 H = 0$ is that when it is combined with the Einstein equation $R_{00} = 0$, i.e.

$$\partial_0 H = -\bar{\Delta} N + K_{mk} K^{mk} N, \quad (55)$$

we obtain a wave equation for N :

$$\hat{\square}N - (K_{ij}K^{ij} - H^2)N = 0. \quad (56)$$

It is at this stage that the Hamiltonian constraint enters explicitly into our system: see (36). By applying $\bar{\nabla}_k$ to this equation we obtain a non-linear wave equation for a_k . We may then reduce the pair of wave equations involving $\hat{\square}K_{ij}$ and $\hat{\square}a_k$ each to flux-conservative FOSH form as we did previously for $\square E_i$. Furthermore, the new variables we must introduce to carry this out are precisely the ones required to express the non-linear right-hand-sides of these two wave equations in terms of the defined variables, given the elimination of $\bar{R}_{ij}$ in these equations using the standard Einstein equation of motion (33) with $R_{ij} = 0$. ($\bar{R}_{ijkl}$ is to be regarded as eliminated from the right-hand-side of the $\hat{\square}K_{ij}$ equation using (32): this key step in obtaining FOSH form uses the fact of *three* space dimensions. The $\hat{\square}K_{ij}$ equation itself has the same form in any Lorentzian (d+1) spacetime for the Einstein equations.) These steps are executed in [12, 15, 14, 16], but here we shall state the final results slightly more explicitly.

The new variables needed to reduce the $\hat{\square}K_{ij}$ and $\hat{\square}a_i$ wave equations are, respectively,

$$L_{0ij} \equiv N^{-1}\hat{\partial}_0 K_{ij} \quad L_{kij} \equiv \bar{\nabla}_k K_{ij}, \quad (57)$$

$$a_{0i} \equiv N^{-1}\hat{\partial}_0 a_i \quad a_{ji} \equiv \bar{\nabla}_j a_i. \quad (58)$$

(In previous works we denoted L_{0ij} by L_{ij} and L_{kij} by M_{kij} .)

Altogether, our unknowns up to this point in the analysis are N , g_{ij} , K_{ij} , a_i , a_{0i} , a_{ji} , L_{0ij} , and L_{kij} . Note that the shift β^i is not among the unknown variables. Like $\phi(t, x^j)$ in the case of Maxwell's equations, $\beta^i(t, x^j)$ may be regarded as *given* or *obtained* (as a function of t and x^j) in any manner that is mathematically compatible with the Einstein equations in FOSH form. Obtaining $\beta^i(t, x^j)$ is needed in solving Einstein's equations, but its “manufacture” is not a part of Einstein's equations *per se*.

The reduction to first order form produces the following system (in vacuum, with simple harmonic slicing)

$$\hat{\partial}_0 N = -N^2 H, \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 g_{ij} = -2N K_{ij}, \quad (60)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 K_{ij} = N L_{0ij}, \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 L_{0ij} - N \bar{\nabla}^k L_{kij} = N \mathcal{J}_{ij}, \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\partial}_0 L_{kij} - N \bar{\nabla}_k L_{0ij} = N \Big[& a_k L_{0ij} + 2L_{km(i} K_{j)}^m + 2K_{m(i} L_{j)k}^m - 2K_{m(i} L_{j)}^m K_{k)} \\ & + 2K_{m(i} (K_{j)}^m a_k + a_{j)} K_k^m - a^m K_{j)k}^m) \Big], \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 a_i = N a_{0i}, \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\partial}_0 a_{0i} - N \bar{\nabla}^k a_{ki} = N \Big[& H L_{ik}^k - 2L_{imk} K^{mk} + a^k (a_{ki} - L_{0ik} + H K_{ik} - 2K_{im} K^m_k) \\ & + a_i (H^2 + a^k a_k + 2a^k_k - 2K_{mk} K^{mk}) \Big], \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0 a_{ij} - N \bar{\nabla}_j a_{0i} = N \Big[a_k (2L_{(ij)}^k - L^k_{ij} + 2a_{(i} K_{j)}^k - a^k K_{ij}) + a_j a_{0i} \Big], \quad (66)$$

where, in (62), $N \mathcal{J}_{ij} = J_{ij}$ with insertion of the unknowns and elimination of spatial Riemann and Ricci tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{ij} = & K_{ij} (2K_{mk} K^{mk} - 3H^2 + a^k a_k) + H (3L_{0ij} + 10K_{ik} K^k_j + 6a_i a_j + 4a_{ij}) \\ & + a_k (3L^k_{ij} - 4L_{(ij)}^k) + 4a_{(i} L_{j)k}^k - (10L_{0k(i} + 16K_{km} K^m_{(i} + 10a_k a_{(i} + 8a_{k(i)} K_{j)}^k) \\ & + 2g_{ij} K^{mk} (L_{0mk} - H K_{mk} + 2K_{ml} K^l_k + a_m a_k + a_{mk}) - g_{ij} H (K_{mk} K^{mk} - H^2). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Note that the right-hand sides of (59)-(66) are polynomials in the unknowns and in g^{ij} . However, the reduction to first order form is not quite complete because the spatial covariant derivatives on the left-hand sides of (62), (63), (65), and (66) involve derivatives of the unknown g_{ij} . Two ways to complete the reduction are as follows.

One could introduce a known fiducial torsion-free connection $\bar{F}_{jk}^i(t, x^l)$ on $\Sigma_0 \times \mathbb{I}$ whose associated covariant derivative operator is (say) $\bar{D}_i$. Then define the tensor

$$S_{jk}^i = \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \bar{F}_{jk}^i(t, x^l) \quad (68)$$

as a new unknown whose equation of motion is

$$\hat{\partial}_0 S_{jk}^i = \hat{\partial}_0 \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \hat{\partial}_0 \bar{F}_{jk}^i(t, x^l), \quad (69)$$

where

$$\hat{\partial}_0 \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = -N[2L_{(jk)}^i - L^i_{jk} + 2a_{(j}K_{k)}^i - a^i K_{jk}]. \quad (70)$$

The equation obtained from combining (69) and (70) can be appended to (59)-(66) to form the complete first order system. The operators $\bar{\nabla}_i$ are replaced by relations of the form

$$\bar{\nabla}_k T_{ij} = \bar{D}_k T_{ij} - T_{lj} S_{ik}^l - T_{il} S_{jk}^l. \quad (71)$$

Another method that can be used to complete the reduction is simply to append the relation (70) and to rewrite the $\bar{\nabla}_i$'s in terms of ordinary derivatives: *all* the equations of the system are still spatially covariant though some will have, of course, individual terms which are not tensors. This is true, perhaps not obviously, of (70) also. One has to recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\beta \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i &= (\beta^l \partial_l \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^l \partial_l \beta^i + \bar{\Gamma}_{lk}^i \partial_j \beta^l + \bar{\Gamma}_{jl}^i \partial_k \beta^l) + \partial_j \partial_k \beta^i \\ &\equiv \bar{\nabla}_j \bar{\nabla}_k \beta^i - \bar{R}_{kjl}^i \beta^l \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

is a spatial tensor.

In our system, (62) and (65) are wave equations and (63) and (66) are, respectively, their “adjoint” equations. All the other equations are definitions except for the slicing equation (59) and the equation for the evolution of the connection. This system is *symmetrizable* upon multiplication by a symmetric positive definite matrix consisting of 1's down the main diagonal and two sub-matrices of the form (17) centered on the main diagonal to handle the two wave equations and their adjoints. The unknowns can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{u}^T = (\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{L}_0, \mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_2, \mathbf{L}_3, N, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}_0, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{a}_3, \bar{\Gamma}), \quad (73)$$

where a trailing pair of indices is suppressed from $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$, $\mathbf{K}$, and $\mathbf{L}_\mu$, a trailing vector index from $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{a}_\mu$ and all of the indices from $\bar{\Gamma}$. Therefore, we have constructed a complete FOSH system. The structure of the left-hand sides has the “flux-conservative” form possible for curved space and curvilinear coordinates.

For completeness, we state explicitly the initial data for the FOSH system (59)-(66) and (70), given $\beta^i(t, x^j)$. These data are consistent with those of (47), (48) and (49) with $f = 0$. The lapse function $N > 0$ is chosen freely, which implies the initial acceleration $a_i = \partial_i \log N$. The metric g_{ij} and extrinsic curvature K_{ij} satisfy the usual Gauss-Codazzi constraints $C = 0$ and $C_i = 0$ given by (37C). From g_{ij} and K_{ij} we obtain the initial values of $\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i$ and of $L_{ijk} = \bar{\nabla}_i K_{jk}$, as well as those of $a_{ji} = \bar{\nabla}_j \partial_i \log N$. The initial values of $L_{0ij} = N^{-1} \hat{\partial}_0 K_{ij}$ are given by $R_{ij} = 0$ in (33) and those of $a_{0i} = N^{-1} \hat{\partial}_0 a_i$ by $-(\partial_i H + H \partial_i \log N)$, where the simple harmonic slicing condition $\partial_0 N + N^2 H = 0$ was used in the latter.

Upon inspection, one sees that all fields evolve along the ∂_0 axis except those obtained from the wave equations and their adjoints. Dividing these equations through by N (to obtain proper time), just as in the case of Maxwell's theory we obtain characteristic fields of the form, for the choice $x^j = x^1$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha [L_{0ij} \pm \alpha^{-1} (L_{1ij} - v^a L_{aij})], \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha [a_{0i} \pm \alpha^{-1} (a_{1i} - v^a a_{ai})]. \quad (75)$$

These fields propagate at light speed along the cone, and they have the dimensions of curvature, as evident from (29) and (30). At a given event, the “electric” or “tidal” parts of the Riemann tensor ($N^{-2} R_{0i0j}$) are constructed from contributions from these fields, which arrive from along the past cone, and from additional contributions which arrive from along the past timelike curve orthogonal to the slice Σ_t of the given foliation in which the event lies.

By writing (74) and (75) in the equivalent forms

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha [N^{-1} \hat{\partial}_0 \pm \alpha^{-1} (\bar{\nabla}_1 - v^a \bar{\nabla}_a)] K_{ij}, \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha [N^{-1} \hat{\partial}_0 \pm \alpha^{-1} (\bar{\nabla}_1 - v^a \bar{\nabla}_a)] a_i, \quad (77)$$

we see that the “characteristic fields” are differential extrinsic curvature and differential acceleration, with differences taken along the past cone of an event using the many pairs

of null generators corresponding to advanced and retarded times for all spatial directions in Σ_t with origin at the event.

Finally, we remark that net matter contribution to the wave equations (62) and (65) are included by adding to the right-hand sides the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{ij} \equiv N\tau_{ij} = N\kappa[-N^{-1}\hat{\partial}_0\rho_{ij} + 2N^{-1}\bar{\nabla}_{(i}\rho_{j)0} + K_{ij}(2N^{-2}\rho_{00} + \rho^k{}_k) \\ + 2H\rho_{ij} - 6\rho_{k(i}K_{j)}^k + g_{ij}(2K^{mk}\rho_{mk} - H\rho^k{}_k - HN^{-2}\rho_{00})], \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

$$\Phi_i \equiv N\mu_i = -N\kappa[\rho_{ik}a^k + N^{-2}\bar{\nabla}_i\rho_{00}]. \quad (79)$$

Note that the detailed forms of (78) and (79) depend on which Einstein equations we have used to display the right hand sides of (62) and (65).

4 Higher Order Formulation

We may ask whether a wave equation form of the Einstein evolution equations can be found in which both the shift *and the lapse* can be freely specified. The answer is yes [20], but we will not give a full description here.

Form the expression

$$\Lambda_{ij} \equiv \hat{\partial}_0\Omega_{ij} + \bar{\nabla}_i\bar{\nabla}_jR_{00} \equiv \hat{\partial}_0\hat{\partial}_0R_{ij} - 2\hat{\partial}_0\bar{\nabla}_{(i}R_{j)0} + \bar{\nabla}_i\bar{\nabla}_jR_{00}. \quad (80)$$

This gives a system of the form

$$\hat{\partial}_0\hat{\partial}_0K_{ij} = [\text{terms with derivatives of } \mathbf{K} \text{ of order } \leq 2 \text{ and of } \bar{\mathbf{g}} \text{ of order } \leq 3], \quad (81)$$

$$\hat{\partial}_0g_{ij} = -2NK_{ij}, \quad (82)$$

that has no slicing term analogous to S_{ij} . It has characteristics along the light cone and $\hat{\partial}_0$. It is not quasi-diagonal because of third order derivatives of g_{ij} arising in (81) from $\bar{\nabla}^2\bar{\Delta}N$. This system is hyperbolic non-strict [21]. On the other hand, the fourth order equation for g_{ij} deduced from (81) and (82) is also not strictly hyperbolic because of the

appearance of ∂_0^2 in its principal operator ($\partial_0^2 \square$). It seems that the system, in its present form, cannot be written as a first order symmetrizable system; but it is potentially very useful, especially in perturbation theory, because the lapse and the shift need not be perturbed or satisfy any particular gauge conditions. The system (81) and (82) can be proven to be equivalent to the full Einstein equations using the twice-contracted Bianchi identities and the appropriate Cauchy data: $G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ and $\Omega_{ij} = 0$ on Σ_0 . This system can be directly adapted for the study of the non-linear perturbative regime of high-frequency wave propagation [22, 23].

5 Concluding Remarks

One of the numerous attractive reasons for writing general relativity in flux-conservative FOSH form, as emphasized recently in [9], is to facilitate emulating the successful strategy applied in computational fluid dynamics, rather than tailoring the form of Einstein’s equations to suit each particular application. However, in fluid dynamics in flux-conservative FOSH form, the only characteristics that can appear are the physical ones associated with the “sound cone” or “flow lines,” because there are no analogs of the spatial coordinate and slicing “gauges” of general relativity that give rise to constraints and can lead to unphysical characteristics. In this paper, by modifying the equations of motion of Einstein’s theory in a specific way ($R_{ij} \rightarrow \Omega_{ij}$), and by choosing time slicings in which the lapse function obeys a causal wave equation, we have incorporated full spatial coordinate freedom while assuring that only physical characteristics are present. Among other things, this means that causal boundary conditions can be imposed naturally on bounded regions of spacetime. Gravitational physics and its mathematical expression have been smoothly melded.

Acknowledgements. A.A., A.A., and J.W.Y. were supported by National Science Foundation grants PHY-9413207 and PHY 93-18152/ASC 93-18152 (ARPA supplemented). They thank Gregory Cook and Mark Scheel for helpful correspondence.

References

- [†] Dedicated to Andrzej Trautman.
- [*] Permanent address: Gravitation et Cosmologie Relativiste, t.22-12, Un. Paris VI, Paris 75252 France.
- [1] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and J.W. York, in *General Relativity and Gravitation*, edited by A. Held (Plenum: New York, 1980).
- [2] A. Lichnerowicz, *Problèmes Globaux en Mecanique Relativiste* (Hermann, Paris, 1939).
- [3] Y. (Foures)-Bruhat, J. Rat. Mechanics and Anal. **5**, 951 (1956).
- [4] J.W. York, in *Sources of Gravitational Radiation*, edited by L. Smarr, (Cambridge Univ. Press: Cambridge, 1979).
- [5] N. Ó Murchadha and J.W. York, Phys. Rev. D**10**, 428 (1974).
- [6] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, in *Gravitation*, edited by L. Witten, (Wiley: New York, 1962). P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A246**, 333 (1958).
- [7] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and T. Ruggeri, Comm. Math. Phys. **89**, 269 (1983).
- [8] S. Frittelli and O. Reula, Commun. Math. Phys., **166**, 221 (1995).
- [9] C. Bona, J. Masso, E. Seidel, and J. Stela, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 600 (1995).
- [10] H. Friedrich, “Hyperbolic Reductions for Einstein’s Equations”, AEI Preprint 1, Potsdam, Germany (1996).
- [11] A. Fischer and J. Marsden, Commun. Math. Phys. **28**, 1 (1972).
- [12] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and J. W. York, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **321**, Série I, 1089 (1995).
- [13] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993).

- [14] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and J.W. York, “Mixed Elliptic and Hyperbolic Systems for the Einstein Equations,” to appear in *Gravitation, Electromagnetism and Geometric Structures*, edited by G. Ferrarese (Pythagora Editrice, Bologna, Italy, 1996), gr-qc/9601030.
- [15] A. Abrahams, A. Anderson, Y. Choquet-Bruhat, and J.W. York, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3377 (1995).
- [16] A. Abrahams and J.W. York, “3+1 General Relativity in Hyperbolic Form,” to appear in *Astrophysical Sources of Gravitational Radiation*, Proceedings of the 1995 Les Houches Summer School, edited by J-A. Marck and J-P. Lasota (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1997), gr-qc/9601031.
- [17] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and C. DeWitt-Morette with M. Dillard-Bleick, *Analysis, Manifolds, and Physics, Part I: Basics, Rev. ed.*, (North-Holland: Amsterdam, 1977, 1982).
- [18] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, (W. H. Freeman: San Francisco, 1973).
- [19] J. Leray, *Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, I.A.S lecture notes, Princeton, 1952.
- [20] A. Abrahams, A. Anderson, Y. Choquet-Bruhat, and J.W. York, in preparation.
- [21] J. Leray and Y. Ohya, Math. Ann. **162**, 228 (1966).
- [22] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, “High frequency oscillations of Einstein geometry,” to appear in *Modern Group Analysis*, edited by N. Ibragimov and F. Mahomed (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996).
- [23] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and A. Greco, “Interactions of gravitational and fluid waves,” to appear in Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1996.